## Debt-Free Development - Lower Cost Housing - The Challenge and one possible solution Ty Albright's Experiment



Ty Albright - Corporate Days

at the family Ranch

This is my opinion based on my experience - About me:
Contact: Ty Albright tmalbright@verizon.net 214-336-7952

I had a 30+ year career in Commercial Real Estate and Finance much of it with GE Capital Commercial Real Estate.

I've been "retired" for 6+ years now and doing stuff.

I always had a passion for building community and finding solutions for affordable housing.

I understand big corporate real estate investment, risk management, development and finance. There are more ways to lose money in real estate than make money.

# Lower Cost Housing 

## Key Obstacles to affordability

Municipalities often prohibit or make difficult alternative proven solutions. Anything "different" or not typical is discouraged. Success often requires special "consultants" (corruption). Urban and suburban municipalities tend to have more barriers to entry than do rural locations.

Home Mortgage Debt is NOT typically available for anything other than traditional housing. The industry is tooled for mass volume production and anything "not typical" requires specialized underwriting and typically offers less favorable terms if it can be found. This reduces home resale value.

Appraisal Industry is designed to support the Mortgage Industry - they typically will not assign "value" to superior construction nor alternative construction.

These restrictions make innovative solutions difficult: alternative construction / Barndominiums / multi-family units / modular housing

## The Home Mortgage Industry why it limits your choices

Home mortgages are packaged into large "mortgage backed securities" and resold. To enable this the type of loans made, and the type of home construction financed needs to be standardized.

Home mortgages are a volume business. Appraisals are often "check the box" and mass produced that rely upon local sale comparables which drives down valuation on new construction.

Mortgage lenders want to loan on "standard" built construction - they do not want to take the risk of anything non-traditional because this takes too much time to understand / explain. Anything nontypical will be difficult to package and re-sale.

## Appraisals - how they impact your

 ability to obtain a mortgageAppraisers often only fill out forms and put numbers into a financial model to determine "market value". Recent sale comps are used to determine "value per sq.ft."
The job of the appraiser is to give the mortgage lender assurance that a loan is made based on an appropriate value - this tends to result in undervaluing - a buyer often needs to challenge an appraiser to get full value.

Appraisal \#1
9/28/20
1,457 SF
2BR / 2Bth ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor counted as an "above garage" apartment flat).
1.5 stories

Value = \$186K (\$127/sf)
Sale Comps / SF = \$85, \$103, \$104, \$115, \$118

Cost Approach:
1,457sf = \$90/SF
655sf apartment = \$16/SF
Total cost = \$193K
Appraisal was sloppy with multiple errors - I had to contact appraisal company to get errors corrected this illustrates challenges you may experience.

## Appraisal \#2

9/28/20
2,104 SF
3BR / 3Bth (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ floor counted as a 1BR / 1Bth apartment ).
1.5 stories

Value = \$230K (\$109/sf)
Sale Comps / SF = \$111, \$114,
\$134, \$139
Cost Approach:
2,104sf = \$90/SF
Total cost = \$241K

Appraised value increase:

Market Value $=+24 \%$
Cost approach $=+25 \%$

Per Appraiser - Cost to build exceeds market value. Actual Cost = \$275.6K (\$131/SF).
"Lower income / Lower wealth" Challenges. Many people do not have any savings so can not make a down payment.

Many people lack the needed Credit Rating to qualify for a loan.

## Consequences

Most "lower income / lower wealth" people end up as renters.

If able to buy - prefer an old run-down house at a lower cost over a well made new house at a higher cost.

## Big Conglomerates are buying up inventories of single family homes and turning them into rental units.

## THE WALL STREET JOITNAL.

## REALESTATE

Blackstone Bets \$6 Billion on Buying and Renting Homes

Deal for Home Partners of America, owner of over 17,000 houses in U.S., is latest sign Wall Street believes housing market will stay hot

The business is attractive to investors because growth can come from both rising home prices and rent increases. The S\&P CoreLogic CaseShiller National Home Price Index, which measures average home prices in major metropolitan areas across the nation, rose $13.2 \%$ in the year that ended in March, up from a 12\% annual rate the prior month.

Big home owners are purchasing based on a 5\% gross yield, and after management costs netting 4\%.

This is a historic low yield - possible because of historic low (some say subsidized) interest rates - resulting in historic high housing prices.

A house that rents for $\$ 2,000 / \mathrm{mth}=$ about $\$ 20 \mathrm{~K} /$ year net, equals $20 \mathrm{~K} / .04=\$ 500 \mathrm{~K}$ value

## Rental

I was unable to sell the house so turned it into a rental - for \$1,600 / mth

Locals thought the rent was too high. Typical rentals in area (older homes) is $\$ 900 / \mathrm{mth}$ for a $2 B R / 2 B$ th home - a small 1BR / 1Bth apartment as low as $\$ 500 / m t h$. Recently rents are moving up.

Outsiders from California, Texas, elsewhere were interested in renting.

There is a demand from transient workers: Windmill Builders, Medical Marijuana growers, Oli Field workers (none now), other industrial workers.

## 720 E. 4th St.

## Annual

## House Cost :

Rent (\$1,600/mth) : 19,200
Tax:
Insurance:
R\&M / other :
NOI :

## Yield :

4.99\%

## Cost of Construction - Impact of recent inflation

$\leftarrow \rightarrow$ C tradingeconomics.com/commodity/lumber

Calendar
News
Markets
Indicators
Lumber

Summary Forecast Stats Download - Alerts
Lumber (USD/1000 board feet) $707.00-42(-5.61 \%)$
\% num dif

| 1 |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 Y$ | $5 Y$ | $10 Y$ | $25 Y$ | All |

20192020
2021

# Recent cost increase in lumber (as much as $+3 X$ in recent times) and all building supplies - it is unknown what the cost of House \#2 will be. 

## Cost of Construction - Impact of recent inflation

Trade off is speed for cost.
Construction took 2 years.
Total cost of construction = \$124 / SF
With 50' x 150' lot = \$131 / SF (total cost $=\mathbf{\$ 2 7 6 K})$

Offer to purchase (rejected) \$230K (\$110/SF)
Recent cost increase in lumber (as much as +3 X in recent times) and all building supplies - it is unknown what the cost of House \#2 will be.

Existing Homes in Sulphur OK (older with many in need of fix-up) sell for \$90/SF - \$110/SF

Antidotal: cost of new construction reported by other developers: DFW area = \$187 / SF, Scottsdale or Sedona AZ $=\$ 300 /$ SF

Even though the total cost of new development in rural areas can be lower (largely driven by lower land costs) your appraised value will also be lower since sale comps will largely come from older housing stock - this impacts your ability to get a mortgage.

## Overview - here is one way it can be done

The following is overly simplified to illustrate how the "Rotation of Equity" could work:
Form a land acquisition and development LLC. You need $\$ 400 \mathrm{~K}$ up-front investment. One individual could do this if they had equity or you could get multiple investors - You have 8 lots for 8 homes and 8 investors. Each investor contributes $\$ 50 \mathrm{~K}$ equity ( $50 \times 8=400$ ).

Upon completion of the house \#1; Investor \#1 purchases the house from the LLC (gets a traditional mortgage) - the sale proceeds fund construction of house \#2 - repeat process until all homes are built. The ending cash balance (equal to the original investment) is then returned to each investor.

If profits are made from each house the "big pay-off" occurs following sale of the final house.

| Assume Total Cost / Home remains stable - how "rotation of equity" could work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Site : | Total | Per House \#1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 130.0 | 16.3 < 8 lots; $130 / 8=16.3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| House \#1 construction : | 270.0 | $\underline{270.0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Investment: | 400.0 | 286.3 | Profit |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mark-up Profit : |  | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| House \#1 Sale Price : |  | 286.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Each investor / home buyer invests \$50K; $50 \times 8=400$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| House : | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | (000s) |
|  | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) |  |
| Initial / Beginning Investment : | 400.0 | 286.3 | 302.5 | 318.8 | 335.0 | 351.3 | 367.5 | 383.8 |
| Development Costs Site / Land : | (130.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Development Costs House : | (270.0) | (270.0) | (270.0) | (270.0) | (270.0) | (270.0) | (270.0) | (270.0) |
| Sale of Home: | $\underline{286.3}$ | 286.3 | $\underline{286.3}$ | $\underline{286.3}$ | $\underline{286.3}$ | $\underline{286.3}$ | $\underline{286.3}$ | $\underline{286.3}$ |
| Ending Investment: | 286.3 | 302.5 | 318.8 | 335.0 | 351.3 | 367.5 | 383.8 | 400.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investor Cash Flow : | (400.0) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 400.0 |
| IRR : | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Overview - here is one way it can be done

## Variations:

House prices could be sold at market value - hopefully at a profit .

Investors do not need to be the ultimate home buyer.

## Challenges:

Housing market could decline during this process. Cost of House may exceed market value and ability to get a big enough mortgage.

Cost of development could increase over time requiring increased investment.

If investors are also the buyer - then their cash investment is tied up until the final house is completed so they need to plan for this - possible solution would be to find a mortgage lender who would allow "credit" to the investor of their initial investment equity as the down payment ( $50 / 400=12.5 \%$ down payment) - this may or may not be possible.

## East Side Bluff - Actual Numbers

Site $=$ just under 1 city block $=72,00 S F=1.652$ acres - typical lot $=55^{\prime} \times 150^{\prime}$
Initial House $=3 B R / 3$ Bth $2,100 S F-1^{\text {st }}$ floor $=2 B R / 2 B$ th $1,400 S F 2^{\text {nd }}$ floor $=1 B R /$ 1Bth 700 SF apartment / big room

| East Side Bluff - Sulphur Oklahoma |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development Costs |  |  |
|  | (000s) |  |
| Acquisition : | 48.6 | < 72,000 SF = 1.652 acre |
| Site Development : | 73.3 | < Clear \& Grade, Sewer, Electrical |
| Plans : | 6.3 |  |
| Total Site : | 128.2 | < 8 Lots |
|  |  |  |
| Total Site : | 128.2 |  |
| 720 E. 4th Construction : | 259.6 |  |
| Total Investment: | 387.8 |  |
|  |  |  |
| Per Lot : | 16.0 | < 8 lots |
| 720 E. 4th Construction : | 259.6 | < \$124/SF development cost |
| Total Cost 1 house : | 275.6 | < 3BR / 3Bth 2,100SF = \$131/SF |

Compared to other locations costs are lower in rural areas - trade off is speed - everything happens at $1 / 5$ speed. At the current rate it would take 15 years to build 8 homes.

Possibly solution would be to get more builders involved but this would eliminate opportunity to "rotate" equity as each home is completed requiring more up-front investment.

|  | Acquisition \& Plan | \& Plan | Site PrepQ4 |  |  | Construction Begins - 2 years + to complete house |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East Side Bluff | Q2 | Q3 |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 |  |
|  | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 | 2019 | 2019 | 2019 | 2019 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2021 | 2021 | 2021 |  |
|  | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) | Total |
| Investment: | 2.0 | 60.0 | 20.3 | 7.1 | 17.5 | 26.4 | 46.9 | 46.5 | 55.4 | 67.2 | 32.0 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 387.3 |
| Cumulative Investment: | 2.0 | 62.0 | 82.3 | 89.4 | 106.9 | 133.3 | 180.2 | 226.7 | 282.1 | 349.3 | 381.3 | 385.9 | 386.6 | 387.3 |  |

East Side Bluff - Sulphur OK
Affordability Model - rent out apartment to off-set mortgage cost

|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sulphur OK } \\ \text { (000s) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Example home cost : | 300.00 |
| 5\% Down : | 15.00 |
| 95\% Mortgage : | 285.00 |
|  |  |
| Annual Mortgage PMI 3.3\% APR / 30yr / 95\% LTV : | 9.41 |
| Annual RE Tax : | 2.93 |
| Annual Home Insurance : | 1.20 |
| Annual total home cost: | 13.54 |
|  |  |
| Rent From Apartment (\$600/mth x 10 mths) : | (6.00) |
| Net Annual home cost : | 7.54 |
| cost / mth : | 0.63 |

A home with an apartment that is rented out can:

- Reduce expense by about 44\%
- Provide lower cost housing to more people.
- Help with "age in place" strategies.
- Net Cost for 2BR / 2Bth 1,400SF home = \$630/mth


## Note: Some municipalities will not allow this.

## Goals

- Build a Community Neighborhood.
- Use Equity only - no debt - avoid transaction costs.
- Give-back to the local community by sourcing local talent and buying from local suppliers.


## Overall Take-Aways

- A Covid Pandemic messes up your opportunity to market and attract participants.
- People are reluctant to be the first homeowner - they want to wait to see success before committing.
- Rural People want land / acreage - are uncertain about being too close to neighbors. Wealthy out-of-towners want lots of cheep land.
- "Poor" people want the lowest cost / lowest monthly payment. Understanding the merits of a well built / low cost to operate home with a mortgage cost off-set strategy is elusive.
- The market (including the mortgage appraisal industry) does not value quality construction.


## Ty's Strategy for lower cost homes

- Build in rural locations - costs lower.
- Use traditional construction - source labor / skill / material locally - lenders will loan, city will approve, workers can be found.
- Partner with a local builder - incentivize with shared profits.
- Use all equity - build then sell one unit at a time. Avoid transaction and finance costs.
- Use home and site design, along with Deed Restrictions and Access Easements to foster "Build it and they will come community development".
- Build 2 story homes - design the home so the $2^{\text {nd }}$ level can be rented out as an apartment to offset ownership cost. Use design that meets multiple living needs (Single, Family, Age-inplace).


## Home Affordability Challenge:

 The majority of people can not afford housing.| Demographic data |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | USA | Portland OR | Sulphur OK |
|  | (000s) | (000s) | (000s) |
| Median Net Worth Typical USA Family : | 97 |  |  |
| Median Net Worth (age 55-64) : | 187 |  |  |
| Median Home Price : | 200 | 454 | 75 |
| \% USA with net worth < \$200K : | 80\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Annual Mortgage PMI 4\% / 30yr / 80\% LTV : | 9.2 | 20.8 | 3.4 |
| Annual Median RE Tax : | 2.3 | 5.1 | 0.7 |
| Annual Median Home Insurance : | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| Annual Median total home cost : | 12.6 | 27.0 | 4.6 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Annual Median rent 1BR/1Bth: | 14.6 | 16.1 | 7.0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Median Family annual income : | 59 | 53 | 46 |
| Median annual Income before tax (age 55-64) : | 68 |  |  |
| IVIedian annual Social Security Income : | 16 |  |  |
| \% who live off Social Security only : | 45\% |  |  |

Data varies by source (not a lot) - data per Survey of Consumer Finances (SCF) - Federal Reserve - 2016, U.S. Census 2015, and other believed reliable sources

## It costs less to live in rural locations

Most people (80\%) face retirement with a net worth less than the cost of a house - they failed to buy a home and pay off the mortgage after 30 years of work. $45 \%$ of retired rely upon social security only.

## Partner with a local builder incentivize with shared profits.

Find people who know how to make things with their hands.


The Builder - George - with one of his crew James.
Builder gets paid as he goes - but has incentive to: 1). Be fast. 2). "build it right" so we keep expense waste down, and have a home that can sell for a market price.

## Profit Waterfall

Net Sale Proceeds are paid:

- Repay Developer actual cost of development.
- Pay Developer 8\% preferred, compounded, accrued return.
- Split 50 / 50


## Sulphur Oklahoma - South Central Oklahoma



Chickasaw National Recreation Area


Artesian Hotel Casino and Spa


Lake of the Arbuckles

Laundry $=8^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$

Storage $=7^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$
Doors are extra wide to accommodate mobility (4' exterior doors, $3^{\prime}$ BR and Bth doors).

The bedrooms and baths are the same size to be equally desirable.

The interior bathroom is reinforced for enhanced strength; 2" x 6 " studs with plywood sided walls and ceiling and solid door.


## East Side Bluff

2nd Floor Bonus Room with 1 Bth

700sf +/- total.

The great room center is $14.5^{\prime}$ x 38.4' = 556sf

Bath $=5^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$

Walk-in closet.

Equipment room and attic storage is also available.

The $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor Bonus room provides versatility to meet multiple needs:
-Game room for kids.

- Man cave / TV room / Art Studio / Office.
-Guest room / extra bed room.
- Care taker quarters.
-Storage.
-Has a separate entrance door at the top of the stair landing and can be shut-off and not used.


House Design for flexibility and cost effectiveness
8 home pocket neighborhood. 3BR / 3Bth homes 2,100 SF
2 story house with ability to lease out the $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor as an apartment. There is a separate entrance with a shared laundry room and ability to shut-off area from the $1^{\text {st }}$ floor.

2 stories = lower cost (one foundation / one roof)
Car port with Storage $=$ lower cost vs. full garage
Designed to foster community - Front Porch with kitchen window looking out over common space.

Enhanced construction for low cost maintenance and utility / operating costs.

Meets multiple needs
1 st Floor - 2BR / 2 Bth ( 1,472 SF)
Room Mates: Equal sized rooms.
Family with children.
Single with office.
Elderly with care taker quarters.
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor -1 BR / 1Bth loft apartment ( 700 SF )
Bonus room - man cave - bed room - studio
Care taker quarters
Separate apartment


Street addresses indicated assigned by the City of Sulphur Site $=72,000$ SF Land $=1.652$ acres

## Area available for improvements vs. Common Area space

$721 \mathrm{E} .3^{\text {rd }}$ St.
$60^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$

719 E. 3rd St.
55' x 100'

717 E. 3rd St.
$55^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$

> 715 E. 3rd St.
> $55^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$

## Common Mail Boxes \& Garbage pick-up



Common Space each home grants an access easement to the neighborhood to create a common area for the entire community.

Common covered pavilion

Buffer Space / Walkway / Other use

Common Space - each home grants an access easement to the neighborhood for the center 50' of each lot; creating a $100^{\prime}$ wide $\times 230^{\prime}+$ common area for the entire community - including a $25^{\prime}$ wide easement on south side of 715 E . 3rd St. for underground power line easement and a buffer space / walkway / other use, and including a 5 ' wide easement to the south side of 714 E . 4th St. for a buffer space / walkway.

